

S-Wave Scattering of Charged Fermions
by a Magnetic Black Hole

MARK G. ALFORD

*Institute for Theoretical Physics
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106*

AND

ANDREW STROMINGER

*Department of Physics
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106*
ANDY@DENALI.PHYSICS.UCSB.EDU

ABSTRACT: We argue that, classically, s -wave electrons incident on a magnetically charged black hole are swallowed with probability one: the reflection coefficient vanishes. However, quantum effects can lead to both electromagnetic and gravitational backscattering. We show that, for the case of extremal, magnetically charged, dilatonic black holes and a single flavor of low-energy charged particles, this backscattering is described by a perturbatively computable and unitary S -matrix, and that the Hawking radiation in these modes is suppressed near extremality. The interesting and much more difficult case of several flavors is also discussed.

To many physicists it has seemed natural that extremal black holes, stabilized by their charge, should interact with their surroundings very much as elementary particles. In particular, they should scatter incident particles in a manner described by a unitary, quantum-mechanical S -matrix. This contrasts with another view, according to which the black hole absorbs a particle and then Hawking radiates[HAW] back to its extremal state. The Hawking radiation is in a mixed state, so there is no S -matrix. In support of the “elementary particle” picture, it has recently been shown[GHS,hw,dynam] that in many cases potential barriers arise around near-extremal dilatonic black holes[GIMA,GHS], which reflect incident particles before they reach the horizon. In this paper we examine the threat posed to this picture by an exception to that result: the s -wave charged fermions in the presence of a magnetic black hole, which classically sees no barrier. We show that these modes are reflected from extremal ($a = 1$) dilatonic black holes by an infinite quantum-mechanical barrier, and hence s -wave fermion-hole scattering is indeed described by a unitary S -matrix.

Let us first consider the classical theory consisting of gravity, electromagnetism and a massless left-handed “electron”. Of course this theory is anomalous at the quantum level, but this does not prevent us from studying classical scattering solutions of the Dirac equation. In particular we are interested in the scattering of charge e electrons from a black hole of magnetic charge g such that $eg = \frac{1}{2}$.

In the study of wave equations in a fixed black hole background, one expands the field Φ into modes $\Phi(\ell, \omega)$ of energy ω and angular momentum ℓ . The wave equation for a bosonic mode $\Phi(\ell, \omega)$ may then be written in the general form

$$\left(-\omega^2 + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r^*}\right)^2 + V(\ell)\right)\Phi(\ell, \omega) = 0 \quad (md)$$

where r^* is a convenient radial coordinate. For fermions there is a similar first order differential equation. The presence of a non trivial potential V – in general non-vanishing even for $\ell = 0$ – implies the possibility of backscattering. Some component of a matter s -wave impinging on a black hole will in general backscatter off the gravitational field, while the rest will continue on across the event horizon.

However something rather special can occur for an electrically charged fermion in the presence of a magnetically charged black hole. The total angular momentum

$$\vec{J} = \vec{s} + \vec{\ell} + \hat{r}eg \quad (jslf)$$

contains spin ($\vec{s}$), orbital ($\vec{\ell}$) and field ($eg\hat{r}$) contributions. If $eg = \frac{1}{2}$ (or more generally $n + \frac{1}{2}$), then there is a $\vec{J} = 0$ s -wave mode for which the spin and field contributions cancel. (These are of course the Callan-Rubakov modes which lead to monopole catalysis of proton decay [rub, cal].)

An incoming, $\vec{\ell} = 0$, left handed electron has its spin aligned with its (radial) momentum, so that $\vec{J} = 0$; but in a theory with only left-handed electrons, there are *no* outgoing modes with $\vec{J} = 0$. Therefore angular momentum conservation forbids an incoming electron from backscattering off of a black hole. This will continue to be true classically, even if we include the other chirality and allow Dirac mass terms. We conclude that an incoming fermion will continue across the horizon and into the singularity with unit amplitude, and the analog of the potential V must therefore vanish. In reference [hw,alf] this was confirmed by explicit calculation, and in [hw] it was pointed out that the absence of a potential barrier would seem to contradict the “elementary particle” picture of extremal black holes, and allow catastrophic Hawking radiation in these modes (at least in the regime a little above extremality, where back-reaction can be neglected).

Quantum mechanically the situation differs. We are forced to include both chiralities in order to avoid anomalies. There are then outgoing $\vec{J} = 0$ fermion modes. If backreaction is included, as the s -wave fermion impinges on the black hole large electric fields are produced in its wake. These large electric fields are unstable due to Schwinger pair production. This is a quantum mechanical form of backscattering. It is essentially an electromagnetic effect, and is distinct from Hawking emission.

This problem is difficult to analyze in general. We shall consider only a special case which turns out to be particularly simple: the backscattering of an s -wave electron incident on an extremal, magnetic charge Q dilatonic black hole[GIMA,GHS]. These black holes are extrema of the action:

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left(e^{-2\phi} \left(R + 4(\nabla\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2}F^2 \right) + i\bar{\psi}\not{D}\psi \right) \quad (fouract)$$

where ϕ is the scalar dilaton field, ψ is a charged fermion and D the covariant derivative*. A key feature of this type of black hole is the following. As pointed out in [GHS] and described in detail in [dynam], the geometry consists of three regions. The first is the asymptotically flat (AF) region far from the black hole. Nearer the black hole the curvatures begin to

* The power of e^ϕ appearing in front of the fermionic part of the action is irrelevant since it may be eliminated (modulo quantum anomalies which could be important for gravitational backreaction) by a rescaling of ψ .

rise and one enters the mouth region. The mouth leads into an infinitely long throat region. Well into the throat region, the metric is approximated by the flat metric on two-dimensional Minkowski space times the round metric on the two-sphere with radius Q (in Planck units). The spacetime has no horizons or singularities. The dilaton field ϕ increases linearly with the proper distance into the throat. The electromagnetic field strength is tangent to and integrates to $4\pi Q$ over the two-sphere.

At scales large relative to the radius Q of the two-sphere, dynamics within the throat region are described by a two-dimensional effective action [ban,dynam]. Regarding the two-sphere as a “compactification manifold” this effective action can be derived with standard Kaluza-Klein technology. The result is [ban,dynam]:

$$S = \int d^2\sigma \sqrt{-g} \left(e^{-2\phi} \left(R + 4(\nabla\phi)^2 + \frac{1}{Q^2} - \frac{1}{2}F^2 \right) + i\bar{\psi}\not{D}\psi \right) \quad (twoact)$$

where g, ϕ and $F = dA$ are relics of four dimensional fields taking constant values on the two-sphere and the charged Dirac fermion ψ results from a zero mode of the charged Dirac equation on a two-sphere threaded with magnetic flux. The four-dimensional extremal black hole corresponds to the two-dimensional linear dilaton vacuum:

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= -d\tau^2 + d\sigma^2 \\ \phi(\sigma) &= \phi_0 + \sigma/2Q \\ F &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (ldv)$$

As described in some detail in [ban,dynam], we can describe the the process of scattering off an extremal black hole by choosing some value of σ (say $\sigma = 0$) to correspond to the mouth, and attaching this two-dimensional field theory to a four-dimensional field theory (describing the AF region) by sewing the line $\sigma = 0$ onto the four-dimensional world line of the black hole mouth. The details of this sewing are in general complicated; the important point for our present purposes is that after the s -wave fermion modes in the AF region enter the throat, they become the massless two-dimensional ψ modes.

In the AF region the dilaton field takes on the (nearly constant) value ϕ_0 . e^ϕ governs the strength of quantum effects in dilaton gravity. If ϕ_0 is sufficiently large and negative, then quantum effects are suppressed and there is little fermion backscattering until the fermion is well into the throat where the dilaton grows linearly. Thus if quantum backscattering does occur, for large negative ϕ_0 it occurs mainly in the throat region where the dynamics are governed by the two-dimensional effective action (twoact).

If the incoming fermion has sufficiently low energy, gravitational effects (including backreaction) can be neglected, and (twoact) may be approximated by

$$S \approx \int d^2\sigma \left(i\bar{\psi}\not{D}\psi - \frac{1}{2}e^{-2\phi(\sigma)}F^2 \right) \quad (twoem)$$

with $\phi(\sigma)$ given by (ldv). The energies at which gravitational effects become important will be discussed shortly.

The theory described by (twoem) is of course nothing but the massless Schwinger model[SCHW] with a position dependent coupling constant:

$$e(\sigma) = e^{\phi(\sigma)} = \exp(\phi_0 + \sigma/2Q). \quad (cplg)$$

It may be bosonized by the usual procedure, which yields

$$S = - \int d^2\sigma \frac{1}{2} \left((\nabla b)^2 + \frac{e^2}{2\pi} b^2 \right) \quad (bact)$$

where following the conventions of [sidney] $\varepsilon^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu b = \sqrt{\pi}\bar{\psi}\gamma^\nu\psi$. This represents a free boson with mass $\mu = e(\sigma)/\sqrt{2\pi}$ which increases exponentially with the distance into the throat. The equation of motion for b

$$\square b = \frac{1}{2\pi} \exp(2\phi_0 + \sigma/Q)b. \quad (beqn)$$

can be solved exactly.* However the scattering is easily understood qualitatively without doing so. A fermion entering the throat at $\sigma = 0$ can be represented as some b wave packet (see below). For large negative ϕ_0 , the b meson is effectively massless near the mouth, and so the wave packet may propagate freely into the throat. However, as it gets further down the throat the b mass rises exponentially, and so the wave packet must lose momentum. The furthest it can possibly penetrate is to $\sigma = \sigma_T$ such that

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp(\phi_0 + \sigma_T/2Q) = \omega \quad (tpt)$$

where ω is the incoming energy. Before reaching σ_T the wave packet turns around and eventually exits from the throat region. In general the shape of the outgoing wave packet is different from the incoming one. When translated back into fermions, this means that a single incoming fermion may backscatter into several outgoing ones.

* For example by going into a coordinate system for which it becomes $\partial_+\partial_-b = b$.

Having established that a charged massless fermion is always reflected by a magnetically charged dilaton black hole, we now consider the case of a fermion with mass m in the AF region. In the throat, the action now bosonizes to a massive Schwinger model [sidney]:

$$S = - \int d^2\sigma \left(\frac{1}{2}(\nabla b)^2 + \frac{e^2}{4\pi}b^2 - cm^2 \cos(2\sqrt{\pi}b) \right) \quad (msch)$$

where g depends on σ , just as in (cplg), and c is a numerical constant. Let us assume that near the mouth the electromagnetic coupling is very weak, $\exp(\phi_0) \ll m$, so that there is a region near the mouth where $e^2(\sigma) \ll 8\pi^2 cm^2$. In this region there are well-defined kink solutions in the bosonized theory, which correspond to fermions of the original theory. If the fermion entering the throat is non-relativistic, then by energy conservation it will be unable to pair produce more fermions or bosons. As it proceeds down the throat it will have its electric flux concentrated into a string behind it. In the language of the bosonized theory this string corresponds to the b field being in the local potential minimum at $b = \sqrt{\pi}$. At the location of the fermion there is a kink, which takes the field to the global minimum $b = 0$. As the kink/fermion travels down the throat not only does the string lengthen, but also the electromagnetic coupling, and hence the string tension, rises exponentially, and so the fermion must eventually be pulled back out of the throat by its own flux string.

The situation is more complex for highly relativistic massive fermions, because they may go beyond the region where kink solutions exist, and then the string can break, allowing a charged fermion to go back out of the throat while neutral b -mesons continue in. However the b -meson mass rises as they go deeper (beqn), and eventually, at $\sigma \leq \sigma_T$ (tpt), they too are reflected back out again. Thus all the charge and energy of the incident fermion is reflected back out of the throat, in a manner that is in principle computable and described by a unitary S -matrix.

Several comments are in order:

1. It was shown in [evan] that gravitational effects are important for this process when $\exp(\phi_0 + \sigma/2Q)$ is of order one. Thus as long as $\omega \ll 1$, backscattering has occurred before gravitational effects are important, and our neglect in equation (twoem) is justified.
2. Some backscattering of fermions, dilatons or gravitons may occur as the fermion enters the throat. This is hard to analyze in detail, but it can be made small by decreasing ϕ_0 .

3. The case of N flavors of electrons is both much more interesting and much more difficult. The dynamics may be reduced to the bosonized lagrangian

$$S = - \int d^2\sigma \left[\sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{1}{2} (\nabla b_i)^2 - c m_i^2 \cos(2\sqrt{\pi} b_i) \right) + \frac{e^2(\sigma)}{4\pi} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N b_i \right)^2 \right] \quad (nact)$$

where i is a flavor index and we have assumed all flavors have the same charge. For the special case of non-relativistic massive fermions, the problem may be solved much as before: fermions are pulled out of the throat by the string of electric flux produced in their wake. However for the case of N flavors of massless fermions (which is similar to that of relativistic massive fermions), the situation is more subtle. In this case only the linear combination $\sum b_i$ of bosons acquires a position dependent mass from electromagnetic effects, while $N - 1$ bosons remain massless. Thus not all components of a generic incoming wave packet will be electromagnetically reflected. Those that are not will continue on until $\exp(\phi_0 + \sigma/2Q)$ is of order one, where gravitational effects become important. The fascinating problem of gravitational backscattering in this model has been studied in [evan,ban,sus].

4. In order to understand the interactions of fermions in the real world with charged black holes, one must consider non-abelian gauge fields as in [rub, cal]. Presumably our methods can be extended to this case. One might also need to consider other types (e.g. non-dilatonic) black holes. However, as emphasized in [rub, cal], low-energy fermion s -wave scattering *is* sensitive to short distance physics. Thus if there is a massless dilaton above some scale – as suggested by string theory – it could affect the scattering of low-energy fermions from small black holes.
5. We believe that the results found in this paper have some bearing on the question of “catastrophic” Hawking radiation in the s -wave mode. This issue was raised in [hw], where the rate of mass loss for a black hole of temperature T through these modes was given as

$$\left. \frac{dM}{dt} \right|_{s=0} = \int \frac{d\omega \omega}{e^{\omega/T} + 1} . \quad (crrt)$$

The transmission coefficient typically present in such expressions was thought to be absent because, as argued above, the reflection coefficient vanishes classically. The authors of [hw] were concerned that for $a > 1$ extremal dilatonic black holes the temperature is divergent, apparently leading to a divergent Hawking radiation rate in s -wave modes. (Of course very close to extremality this formula breaks down, since the metric changes dramatically during the emission of a single particle, so the arguments

of [hw] are only suggestive.) However we have shown that (crnt) is an inaccurate formula (even away from extremality), since it neglects the effect of electromagnetic back reaction. We have seen that for the bosonized fermions these effects change the reflection coefficient for the $a = 1$ case from zero to one. If a similar mechanism is operative in the $a > 1$ case, as we believe it should be, it will turn off radiation of s -wave modes near the extremal limit.

It would be interesting to see if gravitational backreaction, which is present for all particles, could have a similar effect of backscattering all incident particles before they are swallowed by an extremal black hole. If so, then particle-hole scattering would be unitary. Perhaps the calculations of the present paper could serve as a simplified model for how that might actually occur.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to C. Callan, S. Giddings, J. Harvey, and J. Preskill for useful conversations. This work was supported in part by DOE Grant 91ER40618 and NSF grant PHY-89-04035.

REFERENCES

- ban. T. Banks, A. Dabholkar, M.R. Douglas, and M O’Loughlin, “Are horned particles the climax of Hawking evaporation?” Rutgers preprint RU-91-54.
- sus. J.G. Russo, L. Susskind, and L. Thorlacius, “Black hole evaporation in 1+1 dimensions,” Stanford preprint SU-ITP-92-4.
- GIMA. G.W. Gibbons, “Antigravitating black hole solitons with scalar hair in N=4 supergravity,” Nucl. Phys. **B207** (1982) 337; G.W. Gibbons and K. Maeda, “Black holes and membranes in higher-dimensional theories with dilaton fields,” Nucl. Phys. **B298** (1988) 741.
- alf. M. Alford, unpublished.

- GHS. D. Garfinkle, G. Horowitz, and A. Strominger, “Charged black holes in string theory,”
Phys. Rev. **D43** (1991) 3140.
- HAW. S. W. Hawking, “Particle creation by black holes,” Comm. Math. Phys. **43** (1975)
199.
- SCHW. J. Schwinger, Phys. Rev. 128 (1962) 2425; J. Lowenstein and A. Swieca, Ann. Phys.
68 (1971) 172.
- evan. C. Callan, S. Giddings, J. Harvey, and A. Strominger, “Evanescant black holes”,
Phys. Rev. **D45** (1992) 1005.
- dynam. S. Giddings and A. Strominger, “Dynamics of extremal black holes”, UCSB preprint
UCSB-TH-92-01.
- hw. C. Holzhey and F. Wilczek, “Black holes as elementary particles”, IAS preprint
IASSNS-HEP-91/71.
- sidney. S. Coleman, Ann. Phys. 101 (1976) 239; S. Coleman, R. Jackiw, L. Susskind, Ann.
Phys. 93 (1975) 267.
- cal. C. Callan, Phys. Rev. D25 (1982) 2141; Phys. Rev. D26 (1982) 2058; Nucl. Phys.
B212 (1983) 391.
- rub. V. Rubakov, Pis’ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 33 (1981) 658 (JETP Lett. 33 (1981) 644);
Nucl. Phys. B203 (1982) 311.